Benefits of Covered Aerated Static Pile Composting with Positive Aeration

John Bouey, P. E., Managed Organic Recycling Eliot Epstein, PhD., Environmental Consultants

> UC Composting Council Annual Convention San Jose, California

> > January 24-27, 2011



Benefits of positive aeration design

- 1. Subjective benefits of positive aeration
 - Permits use of efficient covered aerated static pile composting technology
 - Simpler to design and control
 - Biofilters not required for emissions control
- 2. Objective benefits of positive aeration
 - Reduced energy demand
 - Uniform air distribution in air plenums



Simplicity of Design

- Dedicated blower for each pile
- Eliminates control valves and manifolds
- Easily automated
- Lower cost
 - 1. No biofilter required
 - 2. Lower cost of materials, i.e., less piping and less corrosion protection



Overall Aeration Efficiency

- Positive aeration requires 60 to 100 percent less energy than negative aeration
- The specific volume of the gases is higher with negative aeration than with positive aeration. The volume of gas to be compressed is also higher due to the moisture concentration. These factors alone cause more than a 60 percent loss in efficiency
- No headlosses from biofilter



Air Distribution

- It is essential to take uniform headloss at the orifices to obtain proper air distribution form the aeration plenum (can not rely on the mix to do this; some times the entire length of aeration plenum may not be covered)
- Design of hydraulic diffusers (Perry's Handbook of Chemical Engineering,)
- On-Farm composting Handbook "Rules of Thumb"
 - 1. Sum x-sectional area of orifices equal to x-sectional area of the aeration plenum
 - 2. Headloss in orifices 10X headlosses in aeration plenum



Aeration System Calculations

1. Design targets

- 3-5 Hp for 100ft to 175 ft aeration plenum
- 700-1,000 CFH/ton of dry compostables
- Total aerations system pressure losses, including compost mix and cover 8 to 11-inches H_2O
- 2. Air plenum headloss calculations
- Closure algorithm (Haug, R. T., 1993)
- Perry's Handbook of Chemical Engineering
- MOR, Inc Excel Spreadsheet (iterative process)



Aeration Plenum Design

• Input

- 1. Plenum air flow, acfm
- 2. Plenum diameter, in
- 3. Air temperature, ^oF
- 4. Plenum length, ft
- 5. Orifice diameter, in
- 6. Orifice spacing, in

• Output

- 1. Velocity in plenum, ft/sec
- 2. Velocity through orifices, ft/sec
- 3. Delta P through aeration plenum, orifices and pile, inch H_2O
- 4. Mal-distribution, %
- 5. Blower Hp required



MOR, Inc. Air Plenum Calculations

				OF A	DESIGN				
					INPUT DA	ТА			
Total Rqr Flow Per Dry Ton Acfhr	d Dry Tons/ Pile	Rqrd Total Flow ACFM		Pipe Dia Ft	Press Psia	Temp oF	Length Ft	Dia Hole In	Holes In Each Pipe #
1500	31.8	795	3	0.500	13	100	160	0.1875	480
					OUTPUT D	ATA			
					OUTPUT D.	ΑΤΑ	Delta P		
					OUTPUT D	ATA Delta P	Delta P Thru pile +		
Rqrd Flow		Vel	Vel	Delta P	OUTPUT D. Delta P				
			Vel Thru		Delta P	Delta P	Thru pile + Head	t	%
Rqrd Flow In Each		Vel Down		Delta P	Delta P	Delta P Thru Pile &	Thru pile + Head	t Delta P	

Note: Delta P Ratio should be about 10 or larger to give 5% mal-distribution or better



Aeration Plenum/Orifice Construction





Air distribution testing (smoke tests)

Air distribution tests should be preformed at commissioning:

- At top of air plenum
- Above base layer
- On top of the pile surface





Air Plenum/Testing

CVWRF encased air plenum Air plenum smoke testing





Emissions Control Factors

• ePTFE micropore covers

- 1. VOC capture efficiencies ,85 to 95 percent
- 2. Ammonia capture efficiencies, 70 to 85 percent
- 3. Odor (D/T), 75 to 90 percent reduction in emissions

• Biofilter

- 1. VOC, ammonia, and odor reduction all over 90 percent for well designed/maintained biofilters
- 2. High ammonia concentrations, especially associated with anaerobically digested biosolids and green wastes with low C:N ratio, may effect biofilter performance
- 3. Biofilters must have good moisture control to achieve optimum removal rates



Emissions Control

38ft X 175ft ePTFE micropore cover, **Salt Lake City**, **Utah**

175ft diameter X 8ft deep Biofilter, Bakersfield, California





Positive vs. Negative Aeration

- Less system corrosion
- Lower leachate generation ((Nicoletti and Taylor, 2005)
- Less degradation of woodchip layer above aeration plenums causing an increase in blower Hp requirements (Paul and Geesing, 2009)
- Forced air exists the compost mix over the entire surface area surface, pilot study in Tenino, WS 2007



Closing comments

Positive aeration provides better:

- Air flow
- Air distribution in the pile
- Lower system pressure losses
- Less energy consumption
- Better temperature control, especially when over -heating is a concern





